

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE DISTRICT COUNCIL

At a meeting of the Council held on
Thursday 26th February 2004 at 2.00 pm

PRESENT: RF Bryant – Chairman
Mrs MP Course – Vice-Chairman

Councillors: SJ Agnew, Dr DR Bard, CC Barker, RE Barrett, EW Bullman, NN Cathcart, JP Chatfield, RF Collinson, NS Davies, R Driver, G Elsbury, TJ Flanagan, CJ Gravatt, R Hall, Dr SA Harangozo, Mrs SA Hatton, Mrs JM Healey, Dr JA Heap, Mrs EM Heazell, MP Howell, Mrs J Hughes, SGM Kindersley, Mrs JE Lockwood, LCA Manning JP, RM Matthews, Mrs CAED Murfitt, CR Nightingale, Dr JPR Orme, R Page, DJ Regan, WH Saberton, NJ Scarr, J Shepperson, Mrs GJ Smith, RGR Smith, Mrs DSK Spink MBE, JH Stewart, RT Summerfield, Mrs LM Sutherland, Mrs VM Trueman, Mrs BE Waters, DALG Wherrell, LJ Wilson and AW Wyatt MBE

Apologies for absence were received from Councillors EL Monks, Mrs JA Muncey, DL Porter, JA Quinlan and PL Stroude.

1. MINUTES

The Minutes of the meeting of Council held on 11th December 2003 were confirmed as a correct record.

1.1 Notice of Motion Standing in the name of Councillor NJ Scarr (Minute 14.1)

The Deputy Prime Minister's response had been received and would be circulated to all Members.

2. DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

The following interests in items before Council were declared:

Councillor Mrs GJ Smith as a member of the Stop Stansted Campaign, in relation to item 13.1 (Notice of Motion, Stansted Airport Expansion); and
as the Chairman of a disability access group, a non-prejudicial interest in relation to item 18.2 (Minutes of New Offices Working Group 13th January 2004, Room Lettings Policy)

3. CHAIRMAN'S ANNOUNCEMENTS

- There would be a wine and cheese reception for Members and their partners on 22nd June at the new offices
- The Chairman's Reception would be on 17th September at Chilford Hall
- The Chairman's Charity had already received £3,800. Three seats on a flight over Cambridgeshire could be won as prizes in the new fundraising raffle
- Rachel Raymond, leader of the Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA) team, was welcomed to the meeting
- Briefings on the CPA process were scheduled for 2nd, 4th and 11th March and Members were encouraged to register

- The Chief Constable and the Southern Division Commander would be available to speak to members from 1.30 pm before the Council meeting on 29th April

4. PUBLIC QUESTIONS

None received.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

In answer to Councillor R Page, the Leader clarified that the collective responsibility of Cabinet applied only to decisions taken by the Cabinet and not to recommendations to Council, and that votes were recorded at Cabinet meetings

Recommendations to Council were considered:

5.1 Priorities and Spending Plans 2004/05 – 2006/07 (Minute 4, Cabinet 8th January 2004)

The proposed priorities had been identified through public consultation and at the special Council meeting in September 2003. The Council had suffered pressures in previous years from too many annual priorities and this reduced list, to be adopted as a three-year programme with a review each year, would help direct funding.

Concerns were raised:

- Some priorities could be achieved only through partnership work, leaving the Council at risk of not being in full control of resources and implementation;
- The Local Strategic Partnership was in the process of adopting the Community Strategy, so the latter did not need to be retained as a priority;
- The number of lists of priorities considered to this point caused confusion
- Sheltered housing was not on the list;
- Affordable housing should be the Council's top priority;
- The Council had a duty under the Crime and Disorder Act to seek ways to reduce crime and the fear of crime, both of which were major issues identified through public consultation;
- "Sustainable development" was being used in an economic, rather than a social and environmental perspective when referring to Cambridgeshire, although the Council could require sustainable features such as rainwater harvesting and renewable energy in new developments;
- The low turnout at the public consultation meetings meant that the responses were not necessarily representative of the population and it was impossible to predict the outcome of consultation in future years.

Councillor RF Collinson, seconded by Councillor NJ Scarr, proposed that priority vii be amended to read "Sustainable development and the new settlements at Northstowe and Cambridge fringes". The amendment was put to a vote and **CARRIED**.

Councillor SGM Kindersley, seconded by Councillor R Page, proposed the deletion of recommendation (c), "That the Council will address priorities emerging from public consultation (fear of crime; youth provision; rural transport and cleaner villages) in 2005/06 and 2006/07", on the grounds that assumptions should not be made about the results of consultation. The amendment was put to a vote and **CARRIED**.

Councillor NN Cathcart, seconded by Councillor AW Wyatt, proposed that priorities iv, v and viii, reducing the fear of crime, youth provision and rural transport, be removed

but the implementation of the Community Strategy reinstated, through which these would be addressed. The amendment was put to a vote and **LOST**.

Council **RESOLVED**:

- (a) That the following be adopted as a three-year programme of annual priorities from 2004/05:
- i. Electronic Service Delivery (ESD) and customer service
 - ii. Affordable homes
 - iii. Decent homes
 - iv. Reducing the fear of crime
 - v. Youth provision
 - vi. Cleaner villages
 - vii. Sustainable development and the new settlements at Northstowe and Cambridge fringes
 - viii. Rural Transport
 - ix. Recycling and waste minimisation
- (b) Approval of the three-year strategy to:
- i. address the following annual priorities in 2004/05:
 1. Continuing the programme towards electronic service delivery and improved customer service;
 2. Establishing objectives and an effective Council-wide approach to Northstowe and other major developments;
 3. Affordable housing;
 4. Completion of the current integrated recycling / refuse collection scheme;
 - ii. focus more on achieving change in the following areas in 2005/06 and 2006/07:
 5. Developing the capacity of the organisation;
 6. Addressing the concerns of the public in recent and previous consultation and in the Community Strategy; and
 - iii. to request Management Team to prepare a more detailed three-year programme to enable Members to plan for 2005/06 onwards.

5.2 Management Team – Terms of Reference (Minute 4, Cabinet 22nd January 2004)

Council **RESOLVED** that the Management Team terms of reference as amended by Cabinet be included in the Constitution.

5.3 Priorities and Spending Plans 2004/05 – 2006/07 (Minute 2, Cabinet 29th January 2004)

In response to a question from Councillor SGM Kindersley, Councillor CC Barker confirmed that the Council had received the £50,000 DEFRA grant for plastics recycling. The facilities would be installed in late spring or early summer.

Members expressed concerns about the underlying Council tax and the need to raise the tax next year. The level of central government support was criticised, although it was pointed out that the Council's historic low level of tax and spending could be to blame for government grants nearly half the average paid to shire districts.

Council **RESOLVED** that the draft budget incorporate:

- (a) a Band D Council Tax of £70 for 2004/05;

- (b) £503,000 additional spend for 2004/05 with recurring costs of £457,000 in subsequent years (both figures gross of the identified savings of £146,000), reflecting:
- i. only the inescapable funding bids of £94,000, comprising:
 - Bed and Breakfast costs (£20,000);
 - Licensing Officer (cost neutral);
 - Environmental Health Private Sector Housing Officer (£22,000);
 - Development Control consultancy budget for land drainage (£5,000);
 - Networking Costs – Cambourne and Cambridge Offices (£33,000);
 - Implementation of single status (£14,000);
 - ii. the CASCADE bid of £224,000 and the Land and Property Gazetteer bid of £20,000;
 - iii. the senior Strategic Housing Officer bid of £43,000; and
 - iv. the plastics recycling banks bid of £50,000, subject to:
 - £50,000 costs in 2004/05 being funded by the DEFRA grant; and
 - the ongoing revenue costs of £42,000 being funded from “savings” within the Environmental Health portfolio;
- (c) the additional expenditure on refuse collection and street cleansing service estimated at £76,000.

Councillor Mrs DSK Spink refuted Councillor R Page's claim that non-executive members were prevented from making a contribution to the discussion at the Cabinet meeting on 29th January and suggested that Councillor Page would better understand the issues if he attended more meetings. Councillor NJ Scarr noted that he had not yet received a written response to the question he asked at that meeting.

5.4 Capital and Revenue Estimates and Council Tax (Minute 3, Cabinet 16th February 2004)

Council **RESOLVED** that:

- (a) the capital programme up to the year ending 31st March 2007 be approved as submitted, including the sum of £34.189 million to be spent on affordable housing for the years from 2004/05 to 2006/07;
- (b) the revised revenue estimates for the year 2003/04 and the revenue estimates for 2004/05 be approved as submitted;
- (c) the District Council demand for general expenses for 2004/05 be £3.821 million;
- (d) the following amounts be now calculated by the Council for the year 2004/05 in accordance with Sections 32 to 36 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992:
 - i. £58,698,201 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(2)(a) to (e) of the Act (gross expenditure including parish precepts and the Housing Revenue Account);

- ii. £46,710,100 being the aggregate of the amounts which the Council estimates for the items set out in Section 32(3)(a) to (c) of the Act (gross income including the Housing Revenue Account and use of the reserves);
- iii. £11,988,101 being the amount by which the aggregate at (a) above exceeds the aggregate at (b) above, calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 32(4) of the Act, as its budget requirement for the year (net expenditure);
- iv. £5,943,620 being the aggregate of the sums which the Council estimates will be payable for the year into its general fund in respect of redistributed non-domestic rates and revenue support grant increased / decreased by the amount of the sums which the Council estimates will be transferred in the year from / to its collection fund to / from its general fund in accordance with Section 97(3) (Council Tax transactions) and Section 98(4) (Community Charges transactions) of the Local Government Finance Act 1988;
- v. £110.74 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 33(1) of the Act, as the basic amount of council tax for the year (average Council Tax for a band D property for the District including parishes)
- vi. £2,223,811 being the aggregate amount of all special items referred to in Section 34(1) of the Act (parish precepts)
- vii. £70.00 being the amount calculated by the Council, in accordance with Section 34(2) of the Act, as the basic amount of its council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which no special item relates (average Council Tax for a Band D property for the District excluding parishes), the amounts being for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
46.67	54.44	62.22	70.00	85.56	101.11	116.67	140.00

- viii. in accordance with Section 34(3) of the Act, the basic amounts of council tax for the year for dwellings in those parts of its area to which a special item relates are shown by adding the amounts for band D in paragraph (vii) and Appendix 'A';
 - ix. in accordance with Section 36(1) of the Act, the amounts to be taken into account for the year in respect of categories of dwellings listed in different valuation bands are shown by adding the amounts for each band in paragraph (vii) and Appendix 'A';
- (e) it be noted that for the year 2004/05 the Cambridgeshire County Council and the Cambridgeshire Police and Fire Authorities have stated the following amounts in precepts issued to the Council, in accordance with Section 40 of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, for each of the categories of dwellings shown below:

Valuation Bands – County Council

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
542.16	632.52	722.88	813.24	993.96	1,174.68	1,355.40	1,626.48

Valuation Bands – Police Authority

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
86.22	100.59	114.96	129.33	158.07	186.81	215.55	258.66

Valuation Bands – Fire Authority

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
£	£	£	£	£	£	£	£
30.66	35.77	40.88	45.99	56.21	66.43	76.65	91.98

- (f) the Council, in accordance with Section 30(2) of the Local Government Finance Act 1992, hereby sets the amounts set out in Appendix 'B' as the amounts of council tax for the year 2004/05 for each of the categories of dwellings shown in Appendix 'B'; and
- (g) the prudential indicators from the Prudential Code for Capital Finance in Local Authorities be approved.

5.5 Housing Revenue Account, Rents and Charges
(Minute 4, Cabinet 16th February 2004)

The government penalised Housing Revenue Accounts (HRAs) for authorities in receipt of housing subsidy whose rents went above the set guideline rent limits. Although South Cambridgeshire District Council rents were above the guideline, the Council had not received housing subsidy and was thus not penalised. However, housing benefits were to be transferred to the General Fund and subsidised by the government, therefore the Council would be penalised if it failed to abide by the guideline rent. To avoid penalty would require a variation in rent of no more than 50p.

Cabinet had recommended a variation of £1.25 per week, having been concerned that otherwise the HRA reserves would fall below the recommended £1 million in future years. The Head of Shire Homes was confident that the reserve could be kept at £1 million by slowing progress on the Decent Homes Standard (DHS) target and by other measures. The Council was on schedule to complete the DHS programme in 2006, well ahead of the 2010 deadline.

Councillor Mrs EM Heazell, Housing Portfolio Holder, urged Council not to approve the Cabinet recommendation, reminding Members that Council had objected to previous government requirements to levy charges to help fund housing projects elsewhere in the country. She proposed a variation in rents of 50 pence per week, which would bring £143,000 into the HRA without incurring any rent rebate penalty, which was a penalty on tenants.

For an increase of a maximum of £1.25 per week

- A compromise solution;
- The last nil increase in rents had been followed by a large percentage increase the following year;

- The £99,000 rent rebate penalty was a one-off if rents were held at guideline levels but the additional £116,000 to the HRA would be on-going;
- Council rents were lower than open market rents and mortgages;
- If rents were not raised this year, a larger increase would follow next year and it was easier for tenants if increases were phased in, especially with the Council Tax rise in 2005/06;
- Tenants would not suffer any reduction in service if the penalty were paid to the government, but the Council would suffer if there was not sufficient funding to carry out necessary works;
- It was important to be practical and not emotional about the increases.

For an increase of a maximum of 50 pence per week

- An increase of an average of £1.25 per week would bring £116,000 more into the HRA, but incur a £99,000 penalty;
- The use of the rent rebate penalty was uncertain as it would be paid to the Department of Work and Pensions;
- Tenants were the least able to pay an increased rent, especially with an increased Council tax in 2005/06;
- It was unfair to ask Council tenants to pay money which would be going to the government, especially in light of the low government grant received in return;
- Increasing charges for Council tenants was a moral issue.

Councillor Mrs DP Roberts defended her support of the £1.25 increase, explaining that, although she objected to large Council tax rises, the rent increase was not a tax but a payment to the Council as a social landlord and an amount in line with inflation.

Councillor R Page, citing the case of an elderly couple in Barton whose request to be included on the sheltered warden scheme had been refused, queried why Supporting People appeared to be putting red tape before people. Councillor Mrs Heazell explained that Supporting People funding was based on designated properties rather than people. Upon learning of the situation she had asked the local commissioning body for a variation and had received an encouraging response. A meeting had been scheduled at which it was hoped that the scheme would be altered to allow people to be designated for sheltered warden services. She commended the work of officers, in particular the Head of Shire Homes, noting that they had to work within the current legislation, and queried why Councillor Page had not contacted her directly about the situation in Barton.

Councillor Mrs Heazell clarified that recommendation (d) referred to indications that Supporting People funding could change as the government wanted to decrease expenditure, although it was uncertain how this would be implemented. She noted that South Cambridgeshire already had more sheltered housing per head of population than the other Cambridgeshire Districts, so was not perceived as needing additional schemes. The Acting Head of Housing Strategic Services was preparing a report for Members explaining this more fully, and the report would include a statement about Supporting People which it was hoped would clarify issues.

Councillor SGM Kindersley, seconded by Councillor Page, proposed that the Housing Revenue Accounts for 2004/05 be varied by 50 pence per week (i.e. a maximum plus or minus variation of 50 pence per week). At the request of Councillor Kindersley, supported by at least 5 other Members, a recorded vote was taken:

FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
SJ Agnew	Dr DR Bard	
JD Batchelor	CC Barker	
RF Bryant	RE Barrett	

NN Cathcart	EW Bullman	
JP Chatfield	Mrs MP Course	
RF Collinson	NS Davies	
G Elsbury	R Driver	
TJ Flanagan	CJ Gravatt	
Dr SA Harangozo	R Hall	
Mrs SA Hatton	Mrs JM Healey	
Dr JA Heap	Mrs J Hughes	
Mrs EM Heazell	LCA Manning	
MP Howell	RM Matthews	
SGM Kindersley	CR Nightingale	
Mrs JE Lockwood	Mrs DP Roberts	
Mrs CAED Murfitt	J Shepperson	
JA Nicholas	RGR Smith	
Dr JPR Orme	Mrs DSK Spink	
R Page	RT Summerfield	
DJ Regan	Mrs LM Sutherland	
WH Saberton	Mrs BE Waters	
NJ Scarr	LJ Wilson	
Mrs GJ Smith		
JH Stewart		
Mrs VM Trueman		
DALG Wherrell		
AW Wyatt		
27	22	0

Council **RESOLVED** that:

- (a) the Housing Revenue Account (HRA) revised revenue estimates for 2003/04 and estimates 2004/05 be approved;
- (b) the HRA rents for 2004/05 be increased by 50 pence per week (i.e. this means a maximum plus or minus variation of 50 pence per week);
- (c) the following proposed charges be adopted:

Services and Facilities – Charges to Tenants

Service or Facility	Current charge per week £.p	Proposed charge per week £.p
Sheltered Housing Service Charge for Tenants		
• support element		
those in residence prior to 01/04/03	7.92	8.12
other tenants	14.42	14.78
• other (communal facilities etc)	5.50	5.64
Garage Rents		
• up to two garages rented to a Council house tenant	5.50	5.64
• other garages rented to a Council house tenant	5.50 +VAT	5.64 +VAT
• garages not rented to a Council house tenant	6.50 +VAT	6.66 +VAT
Rent reduction for tied accommodation occupied by wardens, deputy wardens or rangers	(12.13)	(12.43)

Services and Facilities – Sheltered Housing Service Charges to Equity Shareholders

Service or Facility	Current charge per week £.p	Proposed charge per week £.p
Sheltered Housing Service Charge for Shareholders		
• schemes with all facilities		
those in residence prior to 1/04/03	16.20	16.61
other shareholders	22.70	23.27
• schemes without a common room		
those in residence prior to 1/04/03	10.70	10.97
other shareholders	17.20	17.63

- (d) the Portfolio Holder for Housing be given delegated authority to vary any charges that qualify for aid from the Supporting People Pot in order to bring such charges in line with the level of financial assistance available in 2004/05.

5.6 Investment Strategy (Treasury Management) (Minute 5, Cabinet 16th February 2004)

Council **RESOLVED** to approve the investment strategy.

5.7 Refuse Collection Services – Refuse Design Guide (Minute 4, Development and Conservation Control Committee 4th February 2004)

The Head of Legal Services had confirmed that the flexibility of capacity could be between 1½ to 2½m², depending on the size of the development.

Council **RESOLVED** to adopt the Refuse Design Guide, with the amendment on flexibility of capacity, as Council policy and, in due course, as a Supplementary Planning Document.

6. SWAVESEY BYEWAYS RATE

Council **RESOLVED** to:

- (a) maintain the current level of Swavesey Byeways maintenance for the period 2004/05; and
- (b) levy a rate of 90 pence per hectare to fund the required maintenance for the period 2004/05.

7. CAMBOURNE OFFICE – RECORDED VOTING SYSTEM

Council **RESOLVED**

- (a) that a recorded voting system should be purchased in advance for the Cambourne office; and
- (b) that the New Offices Working Group be given delegated authority to incur the additional expenditure of £15,400 for the smart card readers and £5,154 for the software.

8. PROGRAMME OF COUNCIL MEETINGS 2004/05

Council considered the recommendations of the Constitution Review Working Party on the frequency, programming and timing of Council meetings:

- Meetings would be scheduled for the fourth Thursday each month, but cancelled if there were insufficient business, cancellations being made at least a fortnight before the scheduled meeting date;
- A larger number of meetings could increase pressure on officers but would provide more opportunities for non-executive members to be involved in meaningful debate and decrease feelings of marginalisation. Conversely, the Cabinet system was adopted to streamline the decision process and more Council meetings could create a top-heavy framework and a loss of public confidence in the system;
- The proposal tried to rationalise the number of extra meetings of the whole Council;
- It was hoped that a regular schedule of meetings would benefit Members with full-time jobs;
- Later starting times had not appeared to be popular
- Questions to Portfolio Holders, not to each Portfolio Holder, would be a new standing agenda item. Three days' notification of questions would be appreciated;
- It was more efficient to approve minutes by date rather than page by page; and
- The meeting scheme would be reviewed in a year.

Council **RESOLVED** that:

- (a) meetings for the conduct of business for the whole Council be programmed for once each month other than (normally) August and December;
- (b) for 2004 only, an extraordinary meeting of Council be held in August to approve the Draft Statement of Accounts; in other years approval be taken to a regular meeting;
- (c) meetings be programmed for the 4th Thursday in each month;
- (d) timings of meetings be reviewed one year after the office move to Cambourne;
- (e) the Council agenda contain a slot for questions to Portfolio Holders, notification of questions being received in advance if possible; and
- (f) the minutes of meetings of Cabinet and Committees be presented at Council by title and date only, rather than page by page.

9. AMENDMENTS TO THE CONSTITUTION

Council considered the recommendations of the Constitution Review Working Party, and noted that further recommendations would be brought to the next Council meeting.

Councillor RF Collinson supported the amalgamation of the Conservation Portfolio with the Sustainability and Community Planning Portfolio and asked that the title be amended to reflect all responsibilities.

Councillor SGM Kindersley, in response to a question from Councillor R Page, explained that the Comprehensive Performance Assessment (CPA) peer review team had suggested that the title of the Scrutiny Committee be changed to Scrutiny and Overview.

Council **RESOLVED**:

- (a) To amend Section B-6, Policy Framework, to read:
- a. **Policy Framework.** The policy framework means the following plans and strategies and such others as the Council shall determine to be included in the policy framework: -
- Best Value Performance Plan;
 - Financial Strategy;
 - Crime and Disorder Reduction Strategy;
 - Plans and strategies which together comprise the Development Plan;
 - Council's Corporate Strategy;
 - Food Law Enforcement Service Plan;
 - The plans and strategies which comprise the Housing Investment Programme, including the Housing Revenue Account strategy and Business Plan;
 - Community Strategy
- (b) That the title of the Scrutiny Committee be changed to "Scrutiny and Overview Committee" and any consequential amendments be made; and
- (c) That, with effect from the 2004/05 municipal year, the position of Conservation Portfolio Holder be amalgamated into the Sustainability and Community Planning Portfolio Holder remit and any consequential amendments be made.

10. **DESIGNATION OF LOCAL NATURE RESERVES AT GREAT SHELFORD AND TRUMPINGTON & HASLINGFIELD**

Councillor Mrs EM Heazell, local member, reported that Haslingfield Parish Council was happy with the proposal for Byron's Pool.

Council

RESOLVED that the delegation of South Cambridgeshire District Council's functions under Section 21 of the National Parks and Access to the Countryside Act 1972, as amended, to Cambridge City Council be confirmed to enable designation of the areas known as Nine Wells and Byron's Pool as Local Nature Reserves, and instructions be given to the Head of Legal Services to complete the Deed of Delegation of Functions.

11. **ANNUAL AUDIT LETTER**

Council **RECEIVED** and **NOTED** the Annual Audit Letter.

12. **QUESTIONS BY MEMBERS**

No questions were received.

13. **NOTICES OF MOTION**

Councillor R Page presented two Notices of Motion:

13.1 Recording of Meetings

Councillor Page argued that it was important to install a recording system to produce a record equivalent to Hansard for accuracy and accountability. Although the Head of Legal Services had advised Members that concerns could be reported to him, Councillor Page felt that written concerns could lead to accusations of slander. The motion was seconded by Councillor Mrs SA Hatton.

Points made in debate included:

- concern about the logistics of recording meetings as the record was only of use if transcribed, creating a huge amount of secretarial effort
- problems of identifying speakers
- with possible referrals to the Standards Committee, a full record was imperative
- recording should not be seen as a threat but as a useful aid
- recording was a natural extension of the recorded voting system and could be linked to individual card ID's to identify the speaker.
- recording could stifle debate
- quotes could be used out of context
- recording would be expensive and unnecessary
- analogies with Parliament were not appropriate as officials did not speak: the effect on officers should be addressed before proceeding further

The Chief Executive explained that recording meetings would cause concern for officers if they were asked to commit themselves to a response without any recourse to their notes. It could, in some cases, slow the meeting or lead to business being deferred. He felt that the recording could assist Democratic Services, but the minutes would become longer. Legal advice was that a record of decision was vital, but that it was unnecessary to record every word of a debate. It was for members to amend the minutes if they believed something was missed.

Councillor Mrs GJ Smith, although not opposed to recording meetings, favoured the current format of minutes rather than transcripts. Councillor Page explained that he was not asking for transcripts, but that MiniDisc recordings be kept in case queries arose. He believed that officers should be accountable for their advice.

Councillor Mrs DSK Spink proposed an amendment to the motion, seconded by Councillor RF Collinson, which was put to a vote and **CARRIED**.

The amendment was put to a vote as a substantive motion and Council

RESOLVED that legal and other implications for officers and the financial and practical implications of recording meetings be investigated and that a report be brought to the 29th April 2004 meeting of Council.

13.2 Stansted Airport Expansion

The Chairman confirmed that Councillor Mrs GJ Smith, who had declared an interest as a member of the Stop Stansted Campaign, would be allowed to speak and vote on this item.

Councillor R Page outlined his opposition to the expansion of Stansted Airport:

- Aircraft contributed 3.5% of greenhouse gases, a figure expected to rise to 15% by 2050 and the quality of life in Cambridgeshire would decrease due to this and other forms of pollution;
- Aircraft fuel was not taxed and VAT was not charged on travel, resulting in what was essentially a £9 billion annual subsidy to airports;
- Low-cost flights were encouraging more people to fly and placing greater reliance on air travel as a means of transport;
- The expansion would bring more jobs to an area of high employment, rather than to other regions where jobs they needed; and
- Environmental and social consequences could not be overestimated and the effect on South Cambridgeshire residents was not worth the expansion of Stansted Airport.

Councillor Mrs DP Roberts seconded the motion and added her concerns about the increased noise from air traffic in the past five years, and felt that it was immoral that fuel, a finite resource, was not being taxed. She appreciated the need for business travel but agreed with Councillor Page that low-cost flights were a direct cause of the rise in air travel, especially for holidaymakers. Councillor Mrs GJ Smith believed that the areas affected by aircraft noise would be wider than that shown in government publications. She argued that the expansion would not bring significant benefits to Cambridgeshire and agreed that this was not an area in need of increased employment.

Councillor NN Cathcart supported the motion, but cautioned that stopping the Stansted expansion would not decrease reliance on air travel. Councillor CJ Gravatt felt that it was important that the Council add its voice to the opposition and reminded members that the original Inspector had concluded that there should not be expansion at Stansted.

Councillor G Elsbury noted that expansion, which he believed was inevitable, would bring more houses to South Cambridgeshire and the Council would need to direct development. He felt that it would be morally wrong for him to oppose expansion as he could only visit his grandchildren by flying.

Councillor Dr DR Bard totally supported the motion, with an amendment deleting the reference to Germaine Greer, which he felt weakened the motion. The amended motion, seconded by Councillor Mrs Roberts, was put to the vote as a substantive motion and Council

RESOLVED that the Deputy Prime Minister be informed that this Council is totally opposed to the current unsustainable plan for the expansion of Stansted Airport and considers the new runway to be environmentally and socially unacceptable.

14. REPORTS OF MEETINGS

The Minutes of the following meetings were **RECEIVED**, subject to the comments recorded in Minutes 15 to 20 below:

Cabinet	18 th December 2003
Cabinet	8 th January 2004
Cabinet	22 nd January 2004
Cabinet	29 th January 2004
Cabinet	16 th February 2004
New Offices Working Group	15 th December 2003
New Offices Working Group	13 th January 2004

Development and Conservation Control Committee	3 rd December 2003
Development and Conservation Control Committee	7 th January 2004
Development and Conservation Control Committee	4 th February 2004
Electoral Arrangements Committee	11 th December 2003
Employment Committee	22 nd January 2004
Scrutiny Committee	27 th November 2003
Scrutiny Committee	18 th December 2003
Scrutiny Committee	22 nd January 2004
Scrutiny Committee	12 th February 2004
Audit Panel	17 th December 2003
Crime and Disorder Partnership Group	26 th January 2004

15. CABINET ON 22ND JANUARY 2004

15.1 Travellers Consultative Group (Minute 11)

The Chief Executive confirmed that a letter had been sent to the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister, but that no response had been received.

16. CABINET ON 29TH JANUARY 2004

16.1 Priorities and Spending Plans 2004/05 – 2006/07 (Minute 2)

Councillor SGM Kindersley believed that comments on staffing made at the meeting had been unfair and were demoralising to officers. He thanked the Leader for taking action and hoped that other Members were equally supportive. Councillor Mrs DP Roberts, in response, claimed that her comments were now being twisted: any professional organisation would consider staffing costs. She believed that queries on staffing costs were legitimate. Councillors Mrs Roberts and Mrs SA Hatton both stated that their comments had been recorded correctly.

Councillor NN Cathcart wished to distance himself from the comment in the minutes that officers of all levels disregarded Members. Councillor Mrs Roberts replied that she had not been recorded correctly and asked for the statement to be removed. The Chairman reminded members that Cabinet had already confirmed the minutes as a correct record. Councillor Mrs DSK Spink explained that the comment had upset staff and this had prompted her e-mail as some officers were planning to raise the issue with UNISON. Councillor R Page noted that this confirmed the need to record meetings. He queried why Members were expressing concern for officers at this time, as he believed that little consideration for staff views had been given at the time of the decision to move to Cambourne.

The Chief Executive reported that the Audit Commission had erred in its background figures: the average of all shire district grants was £69.98 (this figure did not include unitary authorities). The minute, however, was correct as it recorded the figures quoted in good faith at the meeting.

17. CABINET ON 16TH FEBRUARY 2004

17.1 Corporate Identity (Minute 6)

The Chairman imposed a twenty-minute time limit on discussion of this item, which was being presented to Council for decision in view of the considerable concern aroused among members. Councillor JD Batchelor, Information and Customer Services Portfolio Holder, explained that the £8,800 had been the total cost of revamping the Council's public image, as well as the necessary expense of reprinting

all stationery with the new address, not just the cost of the logo design. The exercise was essentially cost-neutral as funding came from savings within the Information and Customer Services budget. Cabinet and Management Team had both recommended that the modern logo be adopted.

In favour of the modern logo – “S”

- A modern logo would match the modern offices and a modern Council
- Public consultation revealed low awareness of the crest and poor identification of it with the Council
- It was easier to identify a simple symbol than a complex crest

In favour of the traditional logo – Council Crest

- Recognisable and incorporates aspects of the District still relevant today
- Gives the Council a suitable and identifiable heritage and a link with the past
- The motto “Nothing without Effort” was important to keep
- The “S” looked too much like a generic corporate logo
- Already printed on all the wheeled bins
- The Council was not a corporation but represented the people: the crest reflected what the Council was and identified with its history

Regardless of the outcome, the Council’s coat of arms would be maintained and used when appropriate, such as on the Chairman’s letterhead. Councillor JH Stewart queried what would happen to Members’ letterhead, as many Members would prefer to retain the crest.

Councillor RF Collinson expressed disappointment that his suggestion to design a modern logo incorporating elements of the crest had not been progressed. Councillor Mrs DP Roberts felt that the decision should have been made earlier.

A vote was held and Council

RESOLVED that the logo, style and stationery incorporating the Council’s crest be adopted as the new corporate identity.

During discussion of this item a majority of Members voted in favour of continuing the meeting beyond the four-hour time limit.

17.2 Housing Strategy and Business Plan Consultation Draft (Minute 7)

It was clarified that the sheltered housing scheme referred to in the minutes was at Meldreth rather than Melbourn. Councillor Mrs EM Heazell explained that this scheme was still on hold.

17.3 Great Shelford Village Design Statement (Minute 9)

The minute should include Councillor Mrs LM Sutherland’s support for the Village Design Statement.

The local members commended the Village Design Statement and thanked Cabinet for considering it as an urgent item. The Parish Council had been disappointed with GO-East for changing its policy, putting the £5,000 grant in jeopardy. The residents on the design team found GO-East to be very belittling of a project to which they had dedicated three years of work. Councillor Mrs DSK Spink confirmed that a letter expressing the District Council’s disappointment had already been sent to GO-East.

18. **NEW OFFICES WORKING GROUP 13TH JANUARY 2004**

18.1 Matters Arising: Removals and Disposal of Old Furniture (Minute 2.6)

Councillor Mrs GJ Smith requested that the Portfolio Holder arrange for surplus Council furniture to be advertised in the CCVS newsletter for voluntary organisations.

18.2 Room Lettings Policy at Cambourne (Minute 4)

Councillor Mrs GJ Smith believed that the proposed rates were reasonable but queried disability access to the meeting rooms and asked if a disability access group could tour the building.

Councillor RT Summerfield agreed to investigate both matters.

19. SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 22ND JANUARY 2004

19.1 Public Questions: High Court Appeal – Planning Permission 307 Huntingdon Road (Minute 4)

Councillor SGM Kindersley noted that a response had been promised to the questioners within a fortnight but none had been received. The Chief Executive agreed to ensure that the Head of Legal Services responded within the next 24 hours.

20. SCRUTINY COMMITTEE 12TH FEBRUARY 2004

The Chairman of the Scrutiny Committee asked that the minutes of this meeting be withdrawn, as there were a number of amendments to be made.

21. QUESTIONS ON JOINT MEETINGS

No questions were received.

22. CHAIRMAN'S ENGAGEMENTS

The Chairman's engagements since the last meeting were **NOTED**. The Chairman reported that the funeral for former Councillor Harry Davies had been well attended.

Councillor NJ Scarr reported that former Councillor Bill Hames, who had represented Fulbourn for over 30 years, had passed away earlier in the year.

The meeting ended at 6.40pm

PARISH	Parish Council Special Expenses per dwelling															
	Valuation bands															
	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H	
£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	
Great Abington	26.97		31.47		35.96		40.46		49.45		58.44		67.43		80.92	
Little Abington	26.51		30.93		35.35		39.77		48.61		57.45		66.28		79.54	
Abington Pigotts	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Arrington	15.59		18.19		20.79		23.39		28.59		33.79		38.98		46.78	
Babraham	24.03		28.03		32.04		36.04		44.05		52.06		60.07		72.08	
Balsham	27.08		31.59		36.11		40.62		49.65		58.67		67.70		81.24	
Bar Hill	34.23		39.93		45.64		51.34		62.75		74.16		85.57		102.68	
Barrington	37.21		43.41		49.61		55.81		68.21		80.61		93.02		111.62	
Bartlow	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Barton	16.62		19.39		22.16		24.93		30.47		36.01		41.55		49.86	
Bassingbourn	13.44		15.68		17.92		20.16		24.64		29.12		33.60		40.32	
Bourn	40.35		47.08		53.80		60.53		73.98		87.43		100.88		121.06	
Boxworth	17.36		20.25		23.15		26.04		31.83		37.61		43.40		52.08	
Caldecote	19.99		23.32		26.65		29.98		36.64		43.30		49.97		59.96	
Cambourne	31.11		36.29		41.48		46.66		57.03		67.40		77.77		93.32	
Carlton	8.42		9.82		11.23		12.63		15.44		18.24		21.05		25.26	
Castle Camps	25.83		30.13		34.44		38.74		47.35		55.96		64.57		77.48	
Caxton	22.39		26.12		29.85		33.58		41.04		48.50		55.97		67.16	
Childerley	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Chishill	26.49		30.90		35.32		39.73		48.56		57.39		66.22		79.46	
Comberton	32.55		37.97		43.40		48.82		59.67		70.52		81.37		97.64	
Conington	0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00	
Coton	35.29		41.18		47.06		52.94		64.70		76.47		88.23		105.88	
Cottenham	25.69		29.98		34.26		38.54		47.10		55.67		64.23		77.08	
Croxton	6.41		7.48		8.55		9.62		11.76		13.90		16.03		19.24	
Croydon	21.24		24.78		28.32		31.86		38.94		46.02		53.10		63.72	
Dry Drayton	9.36		10.92		12.48		14.04		17.16		20.28		23.40		28.08	
Duxford	20.07		23.41		26.76		30.10		36.79		43.48		50.17		60.20	
Elsworth	41.67		48.61		55.56		62.50		76.39		90.28		104.17		125.00	
Eltisley	22.05		25.73		29.40		33.08		40.43		47.78		55.13		66.16	
Great & Little Eversden	16.90		19.72		22.53		25.35		30.98		36.62		42.25		50.70	
Fen Ditton	25.63		29.91		34.18		38.45		46.99		55.54		64.08		76.90	
Fen Drayton	20.63		24.07		27.51		30.95		37.83		44.71		51.58		61.90	
Fowlmere	24.21		28.25		32.28		36.32		44.39		52.46		60.53		72.64	
Foxton	14.94		17.43		19.92		22.41		27.39		32.37		37.35		44.82	
Fulbourn	27.43		32.00		36.57		41.14		50.28		59.42		68.57		82.28	
Gamlingay	26.01		30.35		34.68		39.02		47.69		56.36		65.03		78.04	
Girton	23.29		27.18		31.06		34.94		42.70		50.47		58.23		69.88	
Little Gransden	12.82		14.96		17.09		19.23		23.50		27.78		32.05		38.46	
Grantchester	27.99		32.65		37.32		41.98		51.31		60.64		69.97		83.96	
Graveley	28.47		33.22		37.96		42.71		52.20		61.69		71.18		85.42	

PARISH

Parish Council Special Expenses per dwelling

Valuation bands

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H	
	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p
Hardwick		31.99		37.33		42.66		47.99		58.65		69.32		79.98		95.98
Harlton		23.15		27.00		30.86		34.72		42.44		50.15		57.87		69.44
Harston		16.40		19.13		21.87		24.60		30.07		35.53		41.00		49.20
Haslingfield		31.23		36.43		41.64		46.84		57.25		67.66		78.07		93.68
Hatley		14.34		16.73		19.12		21.51		26.29		31.07		35.85		43.02
Hauxton		15.25		17.80		20.34		22.88		27.96		33.05		38.13		45.76
Heydon		13.77		16.07		18.36		20.66		25.25		29.84		34.43		41.32
Hildersham		23.81		27.77		31.74		35.71		43.65		51.58		59.52		71.42
Hinxton		27.53		32.12		36.71		41.30		50.48		59.66		68.83		82.60
Histon		28.16		32.85		37.55		42.24		51.63		61.01		70.40		84.48
Horningsea		32.42		37.82		43.23		48.63		59.44		70.24		81.05		97.26
Horseheath		14.99		17.49		19.99		22.49		27.49		32.49		37.48		44.98
Ickleton		22.43		26.16		29.90		33.64		41.12		48.59		56.07		67.28
Impington		31.09		36.28		41.46		46.64		57.00		67.37		77.73		93.28
Kingston		24.79		28.92		33.05		37.18		45.44		53.70		61.97		74.36
Knapwell		10.00		11.67		13.33		15.00		18.33		21.67		25.00		30.00
Landbeach		18.62		21.72		24.83		27.93		34.14		40.34		46.55		55.86
Linton		36.71		42.83		48.95		55.07		67.31		79.55		91.78		110.14
Litlington		29.24		34.11		38.99		43.86		53.61		63.35		73.10		87.72
Lolworth		11.46		13.37		15.28		17.19		21.01		24.83		28.65		34.38
Longstanton		5.49		6.41		7.32		8.24		10.07		11.90		13.73		16.48
Longstowe		14.56		16.99		19.41		21.84		26.69		31.55		36.40		43.68
Madingley		30.30		35.35		40.40		45.45		55.55		65.65		75.75		90.90
Melbourn		35.51		41.42		47.34		53.26		65.10		76.93		88.77		106.52
Meldreth		30.39		35.46		40.52		45.59		55.72		65.85		75.98		91.18
Milton		32.31		37.69		43.08		48.46		59.23		70.00		80.77		96.92
Guilden Morden		33.04		38.55		44.05		49.56		60.57		71.59		82.60		99.12
Steeple Morden		29.57		34.49		39.42		44.35		54.21		64.06		73.92		88.70
Newton		9.16		10.69		12.21		13.74		16.79		19.85		22.90		27.48
Oakington/Westwick		20.47		23.89		27.30		30.71		37.53		44.36		51.18		61.42
Orwell		34.34		40.06		45.79		51.51		62.96		74.40		85.85		103.02
Over		23.70		27.65		31.60		35.55		43.45		51.35		59.25		71.10
Pampisford		31.75		37.04		42.33		47.62		58.20		68.78		79.37		95.24
Papworth Everard		39.31		45.87		52.42		58.97		72.07		85.18		98.28		117.94
Papworth St Agnes		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00		0.00
Rampton		48.61		56.72		64.82		72.92		89.12		105.33		121.53		145.84
Sawston		48.51		56.60		64.68		72.77		88.94		105.11		121.28		145.54
Great Shelford		26.25		30.63		35.00		39.38		48.13		56.88		65.63		78.76
Little Shelford		21.45		25.02		28.60		32.17		39.32		46.47		53.62		64.34
Shepreth		18.01		21.01		24.01		27.01		33.01		39.01		45.02		54.02

PARISH

Parish Council Special Expenses per dwelling

Valuation bands

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H	
	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p
Shingay-cum-Wendy		9.19		10.73		12.26		13.79		16.85		19.92		22.98		27.58
Shudy Camps		7.52		8.77		10.03		11.28		13.79		16.29		18.80		22.56
Stapleford		20.20		23.57		26.93		30.30		37.03		43.77		50.50		60.60
Stow-cum-Quy		19.20		22.40		25.60		28.80		35.20		41.60		48.00		57.60
Swavesey		30.11		35.13		40.15		45.17		55.21		65.25		75.28		90.34
Tadlow		4.01		4.68		5.35		6.02		7.36		8.70		10.03		12.04
Teversham		18.08		21.09		24.11		27.12		33.15		39.17		45.20		54.24
Thriplow		13.96		16.29		18.61		20.94		25.59		30.25		34.90		41.88
Toft		35.52		41.44		47.36		53.28		65.12		76.96		88.80		106.56
Waterbeach		33.55		39.15		44.74		50.33		61.51		72.70		83.88		100.66
Weston Colville		20.41		23.81		27.21		30.61		37.41		44.21		51.02		61.22
West Wickham		16.21		18.91		21.61		24.31		29.71		35.11		40.52		48.62
West Wrattling		23.33		27.22		31.11		35.00		42.78		50.56		58.33		70.00
Whaddon		19.79		23.09		26.39		29.69		36.29		42.89		49.48		59.38
Whittlesford		19.36		22.59		25.81		29.04		35.49		41.95		48.40		58.08
Great Wilbraham		10.57		12.33		14.09		15.85		19.37		22.89		26.42		31.70
Little Wilbraham		3.33		3.89		4.44		5.00		6.11		7.22		8.33		10.00
Willingham		25.09		29.28		33.46		37.64		46.00		54.37		62.73		75.28
Wimpole		11.80		13.77		15.73		17.70		21.63		25.57		29.50		35.40

PARISH	County Precept, Fire Precept, Police Precept and District Council General and Special Expenses per dwelling										
	Valuation bands										
	A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H			
£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p
Great Abington	732.68	854.79	976.90	1,099.02	1,343.25	1,587.47	1,831.70	2,198.04			
Little Abington	732.22	854.25	976.29	1,098.33	1,342.41	1,586.48	1,830.55	2,196.66			
Abington Pigotts	705.71	823.32	940.94	1,058.56	1,293.80	1,529.03	1,764.27	2,117.12			
Arrington	721.30	841.51	961.73	1,081.95	1,322.39	1,562.82	1,803.25	2,163.90			
Babraham	729.74	851.35	972.98	1,094.60	1,337.85	1,581.09	1,824.34	2,189.20			
Balsham	732.79	854.91	977.05	1,099.18	1,343.45	1,587.70	1,831.97	2,198.36			
Bar Hill	739.94	863.25	986.58	1,109.90	1,356.55	1,603.19	1,849.84	2,219.80			
Barrington	742.92	866.73	990.55	1,114.37	1,362.01	1,609.64	1,857.29	2,228.74			
Bartlow	705.71	823.32	940.94	1,058.56	1,293.80	1,529.03	1,764.27	2,117.12			
Barton	722.33	842.71	963.10	1,083.49	1,324.27	1,565.04	1,805.82	2,166.98			
Bassingbourn	719.15	839.00	958.86	1,078.72	1,318.44	1,558.15	1,797.87	2,157.44			
Bourn	746.06	870.40	994.74	1,119.09	1,367.78	1,616.46	1,865.15	2,238.18			
Boxworth	723.07	843.57	964.09	1,084.60	1,325.63	1,566.64	1,807.67	2,169.20			
Caldecote	725.70	846.64	967.59	1,088.54	1,330.44	1,572.33	1,814.24	2,177.08			
Cambourne	736.82	859.61	982.42	1,105.22	1,350.83	1,596.43	1,842.04	2,210.44			
Carlton	714.13	833.14	952.17	1,071.19	1,309.24	1,547.27	1,785.32	2,142.38			
Castle Camps	731.54	853.45	975.38	1,097.30	1,341.15	1,584.99	1,828.84	2,194.60			
Caxton	728.10	849.44	970.79	1,092.14	1,334.84	1,577.53	1,820.24	2,184.28			
Childerley	705.71	823.32	940.94	1,058.56	1,293.80	1,529.03	1,764.27	2,117.12			
Chishill	732.20	854.22	976.26	1,098.29	1,342.36	1,586.42	1,830.49	2,196.58			
Comberton	738.26	861.29	984.34	1,107.38	1,353.47	1,599.55	1,845.64	2,214.76			
Conington	705.71	823.32	940.94	1,058.56	1,293.80	1,529.03	1,764.27	2,117.12			
Coton	741.00	864.50	988.00	1,111.50	1,358.50	1,605.50	1,852.50	2,223.00			
Cottenham	731.40	853.30	975.20	1,097.10	1,340.90	1,584.70	1,828.50	2,194.20			
Croxton	712.12	830.80	949.49	1,068.18	1,305.56	1,542.93	1,780.30	2,136.36			
Croydon	726.95	848.10	969.26	1,090.42	1,332.74	1,575.05	1,817.37	2,180.84			
Dry Drayton	715.07	834.24	953.42	1,072.60	1,310.96	1,549.31	1,787.67	2,145.20			
Duxford	725.78	846.73	967.70	1,088.66	1,330.59	1,572.51	1,814.44	2,177.32			
Elsworth	747.38	871.93	996.50	1,121.06	1,370.19	1,619.31	1,868.44	2,242.12			
Eltisley	727.76	849.05	970.34	1,091.64	1,334.23	1,576.81	1,819.40	2,183.28			
Great & Little Eversden	722.61	843.04	963.47	1,083.91	1,324.78	1,565.65	1,806.52	2,167.82			
Fen Ditton	731.34	853.23	975.12	1,097.01	1,340.79	1,584.57	1,828.35	2,194.02			
Fen Drayton	726.34	847.39	968.45	1,089.51	1,331.63	1,573.74	1,815.85	2,179.02			
Fowlmere	729.92	851.57	973.22	1,094.88	1,338.19	1,581.49	1,824.80	2,189.76			
Foxton	720.65	840.75	960.86	1,080.97	1,321.19	1,561.40	1,801.62	2,161.94			
Fulbourn	733.14	855.32	977.51	1,099.70	1,344.08	1,588.45	1,832.84	2,199.40			
Gamlingay	731.72	853.67	975.62	1,097.58	1,341.49	1,585.39	1,829.30	2,195.16			
Girton	729.00	850.50	972.00	1,093.50	1,336.50	1,579.50	1,822.50	2,187.00			

**County Precept, Fire Precept, Police Precept and
District Council General and Special Expenses per dwelling**

PARISH

Valuation bands

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H	
	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p
Little Gransden	718.53		838.28		958.03		1,077.79		1,317.30		1,556.81		1,796.32		2,155.58	
Grantchester	733.70		855.97		978.26		1,100.54		1,345.11		1,589.67		1,834.24		2,201.08	
Graveley	734.18		856.54		978.90		1,101.27		1,346.00		1,590.72		1,835.45		2,202.54	
Hardwick	737.70		860.65		983.60		1,106.55		1,352.45		1,598.35		1,844.25		2,213.10	
Harlton	728.86		850.32		971.80		1,093.28		1,336.24		1,579.18		1,822.14		2,186.56	
Harston	722.11		842.45		962.81		1,083.16		1,323.87		1,564.56		1,805.27		2,166.32	
Haslingfield	736.94		859.75		982.58		1,105.40		1,351.05		1,596.69		1,842.34		2,210.80	
Hatley	720.05		840.05		960.06		1,080.07		1,320.09		1,560.10		1,800.12		2,160.14	
Hauxton	720.96		841.12		961.28		1,081.44		1,321.76		1,562.08		1,802.40		2,162.88	
Heydon	719.48		839.39		959.30		1,079.22		1,319.05		1,558.87		1,798.70		2,158.44	
Hildersham	729.52		851.09		972.68		1,094.27		1,337.45		1,580.61		1,823.79		2,188.54	
Hinxton	733.24		855.44		977.65		1,099.86		1,344.28		1,588.69		1,833.10		2,199.72	
Histon	733.87		856.17		978.49		1,100.80		1,345.43		1,590.04		1,834.67		2,201.60	
Horningsea	738.13		861.14		984.17		1,107.19		1,353.24		1,599.27		1,845.32		2,214.38	
Horseheath	720.70		840.81		960.93		1,081.05		1,321.29		1,561.52		1,801.75		2,162.10	
Ickleton	728.14		849.48		970.84		1,092.20		1,334.92		1,577.62		1,820.34		2,184.40	
Impington	736.80		859.60		982.40		1,105.20		1,350.80		1,596.40		1,842.00		2,210.40	
Kingston	730.50		852.24		973.99		1,095.74		1,339.24		1,582.73		1,826.24		2,191.48	
Knapwell	715.71		834.99		954.27		1,073.56		1,312.13		1,550.70		1,789.27		2,147.12	
Landbeach	724.33		845.04		965.77		1,086.49		1,327.94		1,569.37		1,810.82		2,172.98	
Linton	742.42		866.15		989.89		1,113.63		1,361.11		1,608.58		1,856.05		2,227.26	
Litlington	734.95		857.43		979.93		1,102.42		1,347.41		1,592.38		1,837.37		2,204.84	
Lolworth	717.17		836.69		956.22		1,075.75		1,314.81		1,553.86		1,792.92		2,151.50	
Longstanton	711.20		829.73		948.26		1,066.80		1,303.87		1,540.93		1,778.00		2,133.60	
Longstowe	720.27		840.31		960.35		1,080.40		1,320.49		1,560.58		1,800.67		2,160.80	
Madingley	736.01		858.67		981.34		1,104.01		1,349.35		1,594.68		1,840.02		2,208.02	
Melbourn	741.22		864.74		988.28		1,111.82		1,358.90		1,605.96		1,853.04		2,223.64	
Meldreth	736.10		858.78		981.46		1,104.15		1,349.52		1,594.88		1,840.25		2,208.30	
Milton	738.02		861.01		984.02		1,107.02		1,353.03		1,599.03		1,845.04		2,214.04	
Guilden Morden	738.75		861.87		984.99		1,108.12		1,354.37		1,600.62		1,846.87		2,216.24	
Steeple Morden	735.28		857.81		980.36		1,102.91		1,348.01		1,593.09		1,838.19		2,205.82	
Newton	714.87		834.01		953.15		1,072.30		1,310.59		1,548.88		1,787.17		2,144.60	
Oakington/Westwick	726.18		847.21		968.24		1,089.27		1,331.33		1,573.39		1,815.45		2,178.54	
Orwell	740.05		863.38		986.73		1,110.07		1,356.76		1,603.43		1,850.12		2,220.14	
Over	729.41		850.97		972.54		1,094.11		1,337.25		1,580.38		1,823.52		2,188.22	
Pampisford	737.46		860.36		983.27		1,106.18		1,352.00		1,597.81		1,843.64		2,212.36	
Papworth Everard	745.02		869.19		993.36		1,117.53		1,365.87		1,614.21		1,862.55		2,235.06	
Papworth St Agnes	705.71		823.32		940.94		1,058.56		1,293.80		1,529.03		1,764.27		2,117.12	
Rampton	754.32		880.04		1,005.76		1,131.48		1,382.92		1,634.36		1,885.80		2,262.96	
Sawston	754.22		879.92		1,005.62		1,131.33		1,382.74		1,634.14		1,885.55		2,262.66	

**County Precept, Fire Precept, Police Precept and
District Council General and Special Expenses per dwelling**

PARISH

Valuation bands

	A		B		C		D		E		F		G		H	
	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p	£	p
Great Shelford	731.96		853.95		975.94		1,097.94		1,341.93		1,585.91		1,829.90		2,195.88	
Little Shelford	727.16		848.34		969.54		1,090.73		1,333.12		1,575.50		1,817.89		2,181.46	
Shepreth	723.72		844.33		964.95		1,085.57		1,326.81		1,568.04		1,809.29		2,171.14	
Shingay-cum-Wendy	714.90		834.05		953.20		1,072.35		1,310.65		1,548.95		1,787.25		2,144.70	
Shudy Camps	713.23		832.09		950.97		1,069.84		1,307.59		1,545.32		1,783.07		2,139.68	
Stapleford	725.91		846.89		967.87		1,088.86		1,330.83		1,572.80		1,814.77		2,177.72	
Stow-cum-Quy	724.91		845.72		966.54		1,087.36		1,329.00		1,570.63		1,812.27		2,174.72	
Swavesey	735.82		858.45		981.09		1,103.73		1,349.01		1,594.28		1,839.55		2,207.46	
Tadlow	709.72		828.00		946.29		1,064.58		1,301.16		1,537.73		1,774.30		2,129.16	
Teversham	723.79		844.41		965.05		1,085.68		1,326.95		1,568.20		1,809.47		2,171.36	
Thriplow	719.67		839.61		959.55		1,079.50		1,319.39		1,559.28		1,799.17		2,159.00	
Toft	741.23		864.76		988.30		1,111.84		1,358.92		1,605.99		1,853.07		2,223.68	
Waterbeach	739.26		862.47		985.68		1,108.89		1,355.31		1,601.73		1,848.15		2,217.78	
Weston Colville	726.12		847.13		968.15		1,089.17		1,331.21		1,573.24		1,815.29		2,178.34	
West Wickham	721.92		842.23		962.55		1,082.87		1,323.51		1,564.14		1,804.79		2,165.74	
West Wrattling	729.04		850.54		972.05		1,093.56		1,336.58		1,579.59		1,822.60		2,187.12	
Whaddon	725.50		846.41		967.33		1,088.25		1,330.09		1,571.92		1,813.75		2,176.50	
Whittlesford	725.07		845.91		966.75		1,087.60		1,329.29		1,570.98		1,812.67		2,175.20	
Great Wilbraham	716.28		835.65		955.03		1,074.41		1,313.17		1,551.92		1,790.69		2,148.82	
Little Wilbraham	709.04		827.21		945.38		1,063.56		1,299.91		1,536.25		1,772.60		2,127.12	
Willingham	730.80		852.60		974.40		1,096.20		1,339.80		1,583.40		1,827.00		2,192.40	
Wimpole	717.51		837.09		956.67		1,076.26		1,315.43		1,554.60		1,793.77		2,152.52	